FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TYRONE HURT,

No. C 13-80053 MISC SI

Plaintiff,

ORDER RE: PRE-FILING REVIEW OF COMPLAINT

Order also to be filed in C 12-4187 EMC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

JUDGE ALEXANDER WILLIAMS, JR., UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND.

Defendant.

In an order filed January 11, 2013, Judge Chen of this Court declared plaintiff Tyrone Hurt a vexatious litigant. Hurt v. All Sweepstakes Contests, C 12-4187 EMC, Docket No. 18. Pursuant to that order, the Clerk of this Court may not file or accept any further complaints filed by or on behalf of plaintiff, and if plaintiff wishes to file a complaint, the Duty Judge must review the complaint to determine whether it should be accepted for filing.

On March 11, 2013, plaintiff sought to file a new complaint in this Court. The undersigned is the Duty Judge, and has reviewed the complaint to determine whether it should be accepted for filing. The Court concludes that the new complaint suffers from the same types of factual and legal deficiencies as the previous frivolous lawsuits that led to the declaration of plaintiff as a vexatious litigant. In the new complaint, plaintiff has named as a defendant Judge Alexander Williams, Jr., of the United States District Court for the District of Maryland. Based upon an order attached to the complaint, it appears that on January 8, 2013, Judge Williams declared plaintiff a vexatious litigant in the District of

Case 3:13-mc-80053-SI Document 2 Filed 03/20/13 Page 2 of 2

United States District Court For the Northern District of California Maryland, and that plaintiff is subject to a pre-filing order in that district. The complaint contains citations to various statutes, the United States Constitution, Black's Law Dictionary, and case law, and seeks relief based on unspecified alleged violations of the Constitution.

The new complaint is frivolous because, among other reasons, "[j]udges are immune from damage actions for judicial acts taken within the jurisdiction of their courts." *Ashelman v. Pope*, 793 F.2d 1072, 1075 (9th Cir. 1986). The Clerk is directed not to accept the new complaint for filing, and shall close this miscellaneous case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 20, 2013

SUSAN ILLSTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE